

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
2 United States Attorney

3 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 NAHLA RAJAN (CSBN 218838)
6 Special Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
8 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
9 Telephone: (415) 436-6838
10 FAX: (415) 436-7234

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00784 MHP
Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER AND
v.) STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME
JOHN FRANKLIN,) FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant.) CALCULATION
(18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(8)(A))

19 The parties stipulate and agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. The parties initially appeared on the instant matter November 21, 2005 for defendant's initial appearance, and thereafter appeared on December 12, 2005 for defendant's arraignment on the indictment before the Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte.
2. On December 12, 2005, the matter was continued until December 19, 2005 for initial appearance before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel. Assistant Public Defender Geoffrey A. Hansen, who represents the defendant, requested an exclusion of time from December 12, 2005 to December 19, 2005, based on effective preparation and continuity of counsel. The parties moved that this same time period be excluded from the calculation of time under the Speedy Trial Act.

1 Trial Act.

2 3. In light of the foregoing facts, the failure to grant the requested exclusion would
3 unreasonably deny counsel for the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective
4 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A),
5 (B)(iv). The ends of justice would be served by the Court excluding the proposed time period.
6 These ends outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See id. §
7 3161(h)(8)(A).

8 4. For the reasons stated, the time period from December 12, 2005 through December 19,
9 2005 shall be excluded from the calculation of time under the Speedy Trial Act.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

11

12

13 DATED: _____

Respectfully Submitted,

14

15 /S/

16 NAHLA RAJAN
17 Special Assistant United States Attorney

18 DATED: _____

19 /S/

20 GEOFFREY A. HANSEN
21 Counsel for John Franklin

22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.



23 DATED: _ Dec. 21, 2005

24 — HONORABLE MARILYN HALL PATEL
25 United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. The undersigned further certifies that she caused a copy of:

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE
SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(8)(A))

to be served this date on the party(ies) in this action,

Via Hand Delivery

**GEOFFREY A. HANSEN
Assistant Federal Public Defender
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 19th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 20, 2005

/S/

Ponly J. Tu
Legal Assistant (Immigration)
U.S. Attorney's Office